The Declaration of Helsinki

Proposed Revisions 2024

WMA General Assembly Helsinki, Finland October 2024

Jack Resneck, Jr., MD

Former President, American Medical Association Chair of Finance and Planning, World Medical Association Chair, WMA Declaration of Helsinki Workgroup



The Declaration of Helsinki

The **First** Set of International Principles Guiding Medical Research involving Human Participants

...and A Prominent Pillar in the WMA's Seminal Ethical Documents

- Declaration of Geneva (1948)
 - Pledge outlining basic ethical principles for physicians
- The International Code of Medical Ethics (ICoME) (1948)
 - Ethical principles defining professional duties of physicians
- Declaration of Helsinki (DOH) (1964, 60 years ago)
 - Ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects/participants
- Declaration of Tokyo (1975)
 - Guidelines for Physicians Concerning Torture and other Cruel, Inhuman or Degrading Treatment or Punishment in Relation to Detention and Imprisonment
- Declaration of Lisbon (1982)
 - Rights of the Patient
- Declaration of Taipei (2016)
 - Ethical considerations regarding health databases and biobanks

The DoH in Context

An Important Set of *High-Level Principles* Referenced by many International Bodies and by More Granular Guidelines

- World Health Organization (WHO) Guidance for Best Practices
- Council for International Organizations of Medical Research (CIOMS)
- International Conference for Harmonization (ICH) Good Clinical Practice (GCP) Guideline
- Regulation 536/2014 of the European Parliament on Clinical Trials
- UNESCO Universal Declaration on Bioethics and Human Rights
- US Belmont Report of 1979
- US Subpart A of 45 CFR Part 46 (Common Rule): no specific reference
- Codified in many national laws / regulations

The DoH as a Living Document

1954	Resolution on Human Experimentation endorsed by WMA
1961	Draft Code on Human Experimentation by WMA Cmte on Med Ethics published in BMJ
1964	Declaration of Helsinki Adopted
1975	•Tokyo: Ethics committees, Research protocols, Environment, Animal use
1983	•Venice
1989	Hong Kong
1996	•Somerset West: Limited placebos to when no proven therapy exists
2000	•Edinburgh: Test against best current, Post-trial Access, Vulnerable Populations, Monitoring
2002	•Washington: Clarification on Placebos (compelling methodological reasons, minor conditions)
2004	•Tokyo: Clarification on Post-Trial Access (considered, not required)
2008	Seoul: Trial registration, dissemination of results
2013	•Fortaleza: New structure, stronger protection for vulnerable groups, compensation for harm
2024	• Helsinki: (in progress)

Why Revise?

- Some argue ethical principles should be timeless
- Sustaining relevance requires the Declaration evolve as
 - Evidence of new risks/harms comes to light
 - Research enterprise presents new challenges
 - Society Advances
- Stresses and tensions are inherent as ethical principles sometimes collide and resonate differently across cultures/geographies
 - Openly wrestling with them is essential

The 2024 Revisions

A 30-month, Thorough, Inclusive Process

- WMA Council established Working Group in April 2022
 - 19 Countries and Associate WMA members represented, plus many invited bioethics expert advisors
 - Smaller Drafting Group also engaged





- Associate Members: Syed Hussain, Natalia Solenkova, Anthea Mowat
- Bangladesh: Jamal Chowdhury
- Belgium: Vincent Lamy
- Brazil: Carlos Serrano
- China: Yuguang Huang, Yali Cong, Haihong Zhang
- Denmark: Camilla Rathcke, Jeppe Berggreen Hoj, Katrine Krause-Jensen
- Finland: Janne Aaltonen, Mervi Kattelus
- Germany: Ramin Parsa-Parsi
- Israel: Leah Wapner, Malke Borrow
- Japan: Hidehito Imamura
- Malaysia: Ashok Philip, Azizan binti Abdul Aziz

- Netherlands: Rene Heman,
 Krista Tromp, Antina De Jong
- Nigeria: Joan Emien Enabulele
- South Africa: Mvuyisi Mzukwa
- Taiwan: Brian Chang, Daniel Tsai
- UK: Dominic Norcliffe-Brown, Julian Sheather
- Vatican: Pablo Requena
- Uruguay: Alarico Rodriguez
- USA: Jack Resneck
 - Bioethics Advisors: Dominique Sprumont, Hans Van Delden, Urban Weising, Amber Comer, Elliott Crigger, Jake Young
- WMA Staff: Otmar Kloiber, Sunny Park, Julia Tainijoki
- AMA Staff: Beth LaRocca, Hannah Longstaff

The 2024 Revisions

A 30-month, Thorough, Inclusive Process

- WMA Council established Working Group in April 2022
 - 19 Countries and Associate WMA members represented, plus many invited bioethics expert advisors
 - Smaller Drafting Group also engaged
- Regional and Topical Meetings Across the Globe to Gather Feedback

Regional and Topical Meetings

- <u>Tel Aviv</u>: Implications of Big Data, Machine Learning, Al
- São Paulo: Ethical Considerations on Use of Placebo
- Copenhagen: Emerging Trial Designs
- <u>Tokyo</u>: Research During Public Health Emergencies / Pandemics
- <u>Vatican</u>: Research in Resource-Poor Settings, Global Justice
- <u>Johannesburg</u>: Community Inclusiveness, Post-Trial Access, Vulnerability
- Munich: Specific and Particularly Vulnerable Groups
- Washington, DC: Final Consolidation





The 2024 Revisions

A 30-month, Thorough, Inclusive Process

- WMA Council established Working Group in April 2022
 - 19 Countries represented (including Associate WMA members), plus many invited bioethics expert advisors
 - Smaller Drafting Group also engaged
- Regional and Topical Meetings Across the Globe to Gather Feedback
- Public Comment Periods
 - Phase 1 Occurred last winter
 - Phase 2 Occurred earlier this summer



Key Revisions Proposed for 2024

Declaration of Helsinki 2022-2024 Revision

WMA Working Group Recommendations following Regional/Topical Meetings and Public Consultations

Version Updated September 13, 2024

The Workgroup rationales and descriptions of proposed changes are included before each paragraph.

Underneath the rationale, the left-hand column shows the existing language from the 2013 revision, and the right-hand column shows the proposed text from the Workgroup. Additions are <u>bolded and underlined</u>, while deletions are indicated by strikethrough.

Preamble

Paragraph

The Workgroup proposed replacing "subjects" with "participants" throughout the DoH out of respect for the rights, agency, and importance of those individuals. (See e.g., Workgroup Proposal paragraphs 1, 10, 12, 14, etc.).

Public comments in both periods one and two welcomed the change from subjects to participants.

2013 DoH Language:

 The World Medical Association (WMA) has developed the Declaration of Helsinki as a statement of ethical principles for medical research involving human subjects, including research on identifiable human material and data.

The Declaration is intended to be read as a whole and each of its constituent paragraphs should be applied with consideration of all other relevant paragraphs.

Workgroup Proposal:

1. The World Medical Association (WMA) has developed the Declaration of Helsinki as a statement of ethical principles for medical research involving human <u>subjects participants</u>, including research <u>using on</u> identifiable human material <u>and or</u> data.

The Declaration is intended to be read as a whole, and each of its constituent paragraphs should be applied with consideration of all other relevant paragraphs.

Paragraph 2

Declaration of Helsinki 2022-2024 Revision

WMA Working Group Recommendations following Regional/Topical Meetings and Public Consultations

Clean Version Without Tracked Changes or Rationales
Updated September 13, 2024

Preamble

- The World Medical Association (WMA) has developed the Declaration of Helsinki as a statement of ethical principles for medical research involving human participants, including research using identifiable human material or data.
 - The Declaration is intended to be read as a whole, and each of its constituent paragraphs should be applied with consideration of all other relevant paragraphs.
- While the Declaration is adopted by physicians, the WMA holds that these
 principles should be upheld by all individuals, teams, and organizations involved in
 medical research, as these principles are fundamental to respect for and protection
 of all research participants, including both patients and healthy volunteers.

Participant and Community Engagement

- Replaced *subjects* with *participants* throughout the DoH out of respect for the rights, agency, and importance of those individuals
- Recognizes that participants may include patients and healthy volunteers

- New language in ¶6 demands "meaningful engagement with potential and enrolled participants and their communities ... before, during, and following medical research" in recognition of study participants as partners in co-creation.
 - Specifically sharing priorities/values, participating in study design/implementation, engaging in understanding/disseminating results

WMA Urging DoH to be Broadly Upheld

- New language in ¶2 states that "these principles should be upheld by all individuals, teams, and organizations involved in medical research, as [they] are fundamental to respect for and protection of all research participants...."
- Language in many paragraphs now addresses "physicians and other researchers"
 - While some question the WMA's authority to address nonphysicians, the Declaration is more than solely an exercise in self-regulation
 - The medical profession's morals and broader ethics include a duty to ensure respect for the health, dignity, integrity, autonomy, and privacy of research participants, no matter who is performing the research
- New ¶8: Essential to uphold DoH principles during public health emergencies

Distributive and Global Justice

- New language in ¶6 calls on researchers to "carefully consider how the benefits, risks, and burdens of research are distributed."
 - Also recognizes that medical research enterprise does not have the capacity or bear sole responsibility to resolve all structural inequities

Purposes of Medical Research

- Contemplated adding "social value" to revised ¶7
 - but there was public concern with vagueness and different interpretations across cultures
- Instead added that new knowledge generation, in addition to furthering understanding of diseases and improving interventions, should ultimately "advance individual and public health."
- Retained existing language about those purposes never taking "precedence over the rights and interests of individual research participants"
 - Does not negate the fact that participants, with freely given informed consent, often make benevolent choices to take risks for the good of others with minimal expectation of personal benefit

Vulnerability

- Rewritten ¶19 Recognizes that:
 - individuals, groups, and communities may be in situations of **vulnerability** due to factors that may be **fixed** or **contextual** and **dynamic**.
 - their default exclusion from medical research has resulted in enormous gaps in medical knowledge and can potentially perpetuate or exacerbate disparities.
- Requires that "the harms of exclusion must be considered and weighed against the harms of inclusion"
- Calls for fair and responsible inclusion with specially considered support and protections
- Rewritten ¶20 retains additional protections for some particularly vulnerable groups.
 - Responsive to their health needs/priorities
 - Stand to benefit
 - Cannot be carried out in non-vulnerable (unless exclusion perpetuates or exacerbates disparities)

Scientific Requirements

- ¶21 newly calls out importance of scientific rigor to avoid research waste
- New language in ¶12: "Scientific integrity is essential in the conduct of medical research involving human participants. Involved individuals, teams, and organizations must never engage in research misconduct."

Research Ethics Committees

- ¶23 specifies need for ethics committees to have
 - Adequate "independence and authority to resist undue influence"
 - "Sufficient resources to fulfill its duties"
 - "Adequate education, training, qualifications, and diversity" among its members and staff
 - At least one public member and "familiarity with local circumstances and context"
 - Authority to "withdraw approval and suspend ongoing research"
- Inclusion of ethics review in both sponsoring and host countries when collaborative international research is performed

Growing Use and Risks of Personal Data Stored After Trials

- Complete rewrite of ¶32
- Calls for **free and informed consent** for the "collection, processing, storage, and foreseeable secondary use of biological material and identifiable or reidentifiable data," and for ethics committee approval and monitoring of such databases and biobanks.
- Cross-references the WMA DoT's more detailed guidelines on rights of individuals and principles of governance for health databases and biobanks
 - Pertains to data collected "from research participants for multiple and indefinite uses" beyond the clinical care of individual patients"
- Acknowledges that consent for unanticipated secondary research on stored data is sometimes impossible or impracticable to obtain – but requires ethics committee consideration and approval of such unforeseen uses.

Use of Placebo

- Maintenance of balanced language in ¶33 limiting use of placebo or no intervention, or control groups using anything other than best proven intervention(s).
 - Amendment was considered broadening use of placebo to when no "proven <u>safe and effective</u> intervention exists"
 - Ultimately rejected after extensive consultations
 - São Paolo regional DoH meeting with participants from >10 countries in WMA's Latin American region, and leaders from CONFEMEL and the Pan-American Health Organization
 - Feedback from CONFEMEL
 - Focused session on DoH revisions at the World Conference in Bioethics in Brasília

Other Revised Principles

- Strengthening post-trial provisions in ¶34
 - Must be arranged for participants who still need intervention identified as beneficial and reasonably safe in a trial
 - Recognition that access can be *provided by* researchers, sponsors, healthcare systems, or governments
 - Exceptions allowed but require ethics committee approval
- ¶28/29: When seeking informed consent from legally authorized representative, must consider the preferences and values expressed by the potential participant
- ¶37: Use of **unproven interventions** (compassionate use) must never be undertaken to circumvent the protections for research participants
- Strengthened ¶11: "Medical research should be designed and conducted in a manner that avoids or minimizes possible harm to the environment and strives for environmental sustainability."

The 2024 Proposed Revisions

- Emerged from inclusive and lengthy worldwide stakeholder engagement
- Entail substantial changes to address a rapidly innovating research ecosystem and enhance future relevance

- Maintain brevity while some thirst for specificity
- Meaningfully renew this seminal ethical document that demands respect for and protection of all medical research participants



- Associate Members: Syed Hussain, Natalia Solenkova, Anthea Mowat
- Bangladesh: Jamal Chowdhury
- Belgium: Vincent Lamy
- Brazil: Carlos Serrano
- China: Yuguang Huang, Yali
 Cong, Haihong Zhang
- Denmark: Camilla Rathcke, Jeppe Berggreen Hoj, Katrine Krause-Jensen
- Finland: Janne Aaltonen, Mervi Kattelus
- Germany: Ramin Parsa-Parsi
- Israel: Leah Wapner, Malke Borrow
- Japan: Hidehito Imamura
- Malaysia: Ashok Philip, Azizan binti Abdul Aziz

- Netherlands: Rene Heman, Krista Tromp, Antina De Jong
- Nigeria: Joan Emien Enabulele
- South Africa: Mvuyisi Mzukwa
- Taiwan: Brian Chang, Daniel Tsai
- UK: Dominic Norcliffe-Brown, Julian Sheather
- Vatican: Pablo Requena
- Uruguay: Alarico Rodriguez
- USA: Jack Resneck
- Sprumont, Hans Van Delden, Urban Weising, Amber Comer, Elliott Crigger, Jake Young
- WMA Staff: Otmar Kloiber, Sunny Park, Julia Tainijoki
- AMA Staff: Beth LaRocca, Hannah Longstaff



WORLD MEDICAL WWW ASSOCIATION